# Problem-based learning model with a scientific approach to improve higher order thinking skills

# S.W. Utomo\*

Universitas PGRI Madiun, Jl. Setiabudi No 85 Madiun, Indonesia Email: supriutomo@yahoo.co.id \*Corresponding author

# S. Joyoatmojo, S. Yutmini and N. Suryani

Universitas Sebelas Maret, Jl. Ir. Sutami, 36 Kentingan Jebres Solo, Jawa Tengah, Indonesia Email: strn\_jo@yahoo.co.id Email: denbeipalestrina@gmail.com Email: nunuksuryani@fkip.uns.ac.id

**Abstract:** This research aims to obtain a learning model that can improve higher order thinking skills in financial reporting of accounting. The model was developed using four stages of research and development (R&D): 1) preliminary research; 2) development; 3) testing for limited scale; 4) testing for wide-scale. The population of this research is the Accounting Vocational School in Madiun. For limited scale test, it was concluded that there were significant differences in HOTS and learning achievement scores before and after implementation of the model (sig. < 0.05), but Ngain for HOTS and learning achievement 0.110 (low) and 0.08 (stable). For test wide-scale class, it was concluded that there were significant differences in HOTS and learning achievement in experiment class (sig. < 0.05), with Ngain for HOTS and learning achievement 0.183 (low) and 0.30 (medium) for SMKN 2 Jiwan and 0.11 (low) and 0.105 (low) for SMK 5 Madiun.

**Keywords:** problem-based learning; PBL; scientific approach; higher order thinking skills; HOTS.

**Reference** to this paper should be made as follows: Utomo, S.W., Joyoatmojo, S., Yutmini, S. and Suryani, N. (2022) 'Problem-based learning model with a scientific approach to improve higher order thinking skills', *Int. J. Learning and Change*, Vol. 14, No. 1, pp.87–100.

**Biographical notes:** S.W. Utomo works as a Lecturer in Accounting Education at the PGRI Madiun University (Universitas PGRI Madiun) and the Vice-Chancellor II at the PGRI Madiun University since 2014. His research field is concerned with developing models and learning materials, and higher-order thinking skills (HOTs).

S. Joyoatmojo works as a Lecturer at Sebelas Maret University (Universitas Sebelas Maret) for the Doctor of Education Program in Surakarta. His research field is concerned with the design of learning and the development of teaching materials. His publications carried out during the last three years have focused on a scientific approach and high-level thinking.

S. Yutmini is a Lecturer at Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta, Central Java. She has experiences in guiding students of master and doctoral programs in postgraduate programs. Her research field is concerned with problem-based learning.

N. Suryani works as a Lecturer at Sebelas Maret University for the Doctor of Education Program and the Secretary of the Directorate General of Teachers and Education Personnel of the Ministry of Education and Culture, Indonesia. Her research field is concerned with learning technology, model development, and learning materials. Her community service activities provide training in making learning media, workshops on preparing learning tools, training on the application of learning models, and teaching materials.

#### 1 Introduction

Vocational high school (VHS)/SMK is also an investment to improve the quality of human resources, which is the main requirement to increase the rate of economic growth, equal opportunity, and for social change. However, vocational school is considered not able to carry out its role optimally. This is reflected in the lack of trust level of the owners of the business world and the industrial world to the competencies of VHS students.

Based on BPS data in February 2017 the level of employment is still dominated by graduates with lower education or lower junior high schools, namely 75.21 million people or 60.39%, while high school graduates equivalent to VHS are 34.06 million people or less than half of them have low education. Data from the same source shows that the highest open unemployment rate is vocational school graduates, namely 9.27%, 7.03% senior high school and 6.35% diploma. It is very ironic that vocational schools which are expected to produce graduates who are ready to work are actually contributors to high unemployment. To overcome this problem, the government has adopted a policy through Presidential Instruction No. 9 of 2016 concerning revitalisation of vocational schools in order to improve the quality and competitiveness of Indonesian human resources involving 11 ministries/institutions. Especially for the Ministry of Education and Culture there are six tasks, namely:

- 1 creating a roadmap for developing the vocational school
- 2 perfecting and harmonising the VHS curriculum with competencies according to the needs of graduate users (link and match)
- 3 increasing the number and competence of educators and vocational education staff
- 4 enhance cooperation with ministries/institutions, regional governments, and the business/industrial world
- 5 improve access to vocational school certification and vocational accreditation
- 6 establish a vocational development working group.

In the 4th Generation of Industry, the need for human resources who have analytical and evaluation capabilities to produce creative products is needed. For this reason, VHSs must prepare their graduates to meet current and future needs. One of the skills needed is higher order thinking skills (HOTS). HOTS are students' skills in evaluating ideas and

choices for decision making (Apino and Retnawati, 2017). Aspects of HOTS related to the process of analysing, evaluating, and making arguments (Prayitno et al., 2018). HOTS are abilities related to the activities of analysing, evaluating, and creating (Pratama and Retnawati, 2018; Saido et al., 2015; Soeharto and Rosmaiyadi, 2018; Yen and Halili, 2015). It can be concluded HOTS are skills to do higher-order thinking in order to have cognitive knowledge in the dimensions of analysis, evaluation, and creation.

Based on the results of the tests conducted by the teacher, the learning achievement was still not maximal. Repeat values from 32 students showed that for the knowledge aspect the highest score was 95, the lowest score was 45 with an average of 73.34 and those who had not achieved completeness as many as 8 students. Repeat values for aspects of skills also show that they are not maximal, which is indicated by the highest score of 100, the lowest value of 25, the average value of 71.5, and those that have not reached the completeness of nine students. Learning in VHSs is still oriented towards lower order thinking (LOT) and not oriented to market needs. Learning tends to be monotonous and does not stimulate students to create products. A learning model is needed that can stimulate students to improve HOTS. Creativity competence (21 first century skill) can emerge if students already have analytical, evaluation, and creative abilities. Competence has a broader scope, by considering aspects of cognitive, functional and interpersonal skills, and ethics. This is then called the 21st century skills needed to deal with complex environmental changes, and the skills used in everyday life (Acedo and Hughes, 2014).

On learning process, improving HOTS of the students is done through the application of appropriate learning models. Some researchers have applied models, methods, and strategies to improve HOTS: Vijayaratnam (2012, p.16) show that problem-based learning (PBL) through learning activities by giving real problems and problem solving steps can build students' ability to conduct research, share insights with group members, conduct real trials, and apply them directly in HOTS that has been unconsciously built by students. The results of the supporting study Ganiron (2014, p.223) also show that:

- 1 learning that promotes HOTS can improve student learning achievement in project management courses
- 2 PBL results in an increase in the ability of students to understand concepts, ability to solve problems and the ability to manage cases
- 3 learning achievement achieved by the trial class are much higher than the control class because students learn based on the problem scenario presented, connect them with real problems, investigate and achieve learning goals through HOTS
- 4 the existence of learning that builds HOTS of the students can better understand the learning objectives so that they can improve learning achievement.

PBL so far has not been widely used for learning in high school/vocational school and is more widely used for learning in higher education. This is indicated by studies conducted previously by Aktamis and Ergin (2008), Aka et al. (2010), Buang et al. (2010), Celik et al. (2011), Bayat and Tarmizi (2012), Erdogan and Senemoglu (2013), Ganiron (2014). Based on several explanations of learning theory, relevant research results, and taking into account the condition of the research object (vocational school in the City of Madiun/SMK Madiun), it is necessary to develop a PBL model with a scientific approach to improve HOTS. PBL model with a scientific approach the combination of PBL models with scientific approaches strengthens the 'investigation' syntax in efforts to improve HOTS. Previous research separates the use of the scientific approach with PBL (Hidayati and Retnawati, 2018) which concludes that both can increase HOTS, while (Tambunan, 2019) provides different conclusions, where PBL is more effective than a scientific approach. Vocational students must observation literature and report from any source. Vocational students must observe literature and reports from any source. In the analysis and evaluation step, they analyse all sources from books, journals, reports and any source before communicating their own reports. Investigation, analysis and syntax evaluation are rarely carried out in vocational classes.

# 2 Method

The research used research and development method which refers to the stages or steps of developing by Borg and Gall (1983, p.775). The stages of development are simplified into four:

- 1 preliminary research
- 2 development research
- 3 testing for limited scale class
- 4 testing for wide-scale class.

Not all steps in developing Borg and Gall (1983, p.775) are described in this article. The population of this research is the Accounting Vocational School (SMK) in Madiun. The sample of this research are 26 students of SMKN 1 Geger class XI Ak1 for limited scale class which used single pre-post-test Knapp (2016, p.468) and for wide-scale consist of 65 students of SMKN 2 Jiwan and 48 students of SMK 5 Madiun which used single and control group pre-post-test [Sukmadinata, (2013), p.209]. Models and instruments were validated by experts using content validation index (CVI) analysis [Lawshe, (1975), pp.567–568].

## 3 Results and discussion

The results of this research are presented in four stages results and discussion of:

- 1 preliminary research
- 2 development research
- 3 testing for limited scale class
- 4 testing for limited scale class.

The results and discussion of each stage are described in the following sections.

## 3.1 Preliminary research

The findings obtained from the results of class observations are:

- 1 the students' active involvement in learning has not been optimal
- 2 the teacher still dominates the learning process strongly
- 3 there is no discussion among students
- 4 learners are more dominant and listening to the teacher's explanation and working on the problem training given by the teacher.

The teacher is still dominant using the lecture method, which is 12 people or 60%, three people or 15% use the lecture method varies, and five people or 25% who have used PBL. This indicates that teachers still tend to use conventional learning models. Teachers still do not practice high-level thinking skills (HOTs), which is as much as 60% or 12 people and 40% or eight people who have trained high-level thinking skills. This shows that so far the teacher still tends to teach students to low-level thinking skills. However, as many as 100% or 20 teachers stated that they experienced problems in training high-level thinking skills. These constraints that stated influence on student learning achievement as much as 85% or 17 people and which states no effect as much as 15% or three people. Teachers who apply the scientific approach are 75% or 15 people and only 25% or five people have not applied the scientific approach. But of the 20 respondents as many as 60% of teachers stated there were no obstacles. Although the presentation uses a high scientific approach, it is only concentrated on solving problems.

Based on the results of observations it can be concluded that:

- 1 the students' active involvement in learning has not been optimal
- 2 the teacher still dominates the learning process
- 3 there is no discussion among students
- 4 more dominant students record and listen to explanations teacher and do the problem training given by the teacher.

The questionnaire observation results are synergistic with the results of questionnaires that provide information:

- 1 the dominant teacher uses the lecture model
- 2 the teacher has not trained HOTS in learning
- 3 the scientific approach that has been applied by the teacher is only oriented towards problem solving.

## 3.2 Development research

After expert validation and improvement [with CVI = 1 (very relevant)], FGD was returned to the model and recommendations were obtained to simplify the syntax model. Changes in the design and syntax of the model after improving the results of expert validation and FGD are as follows: syntax of the PBL model with the scientific approach

(PBLS) with the steps of orientation, organisation, investigation, resolving problems, analysis and evaluation, communicating. The PBLS syntax from the literature review analysis is described in Table 1.

Model of learning syntax		
PBLS	PBL	Scientific approach
Orient the students to problems (orientation)	Orient the students to problems	Asking question
Organising students to learn (organisation)	Organising students to learn	Test the question
Investigating through observing and asking questions (investigation)	Assist independent and group investigations	Make a hypothesis
Solve the problem	Helps compile and present artefacts and exhibitions	Carry out research or experiments
Analysis and evaluation	Analyse and evaluate the problem solving process	Analyse data and make conclusions
Report or communicate		Create and communicate reports

Table 1Syntax of PBLS

The detailed description of the PBLS syntax is presented in Table 2.

Table 2	Design of PE	LS syntax
---------	--------------	-----------

Syntax	Activities
Orientation (orienting students to problems)	The students pay attention to the explanation of learning objectives, material, prepares the equipment needed, describe the final results to be achieved in learning.
Organisation (organising learners for learning)	The students form groups and pay attention to the explanation of the learning steps that must be done by students.
Investigation (investigating through observation and questioning)	The students develop work steps or procedures that will be carried out, make observations and examine the material given by the teacher and ask questions about things that have not been understood.
Solve the problem	The students perform problem solving, namely preparing financial statements that are correct in accordance with the principles of preparing financial statements of service companies. The teacher's activities at this stage are observing and evaluating the process and providing guidance to students who are experiencing difficulties. At this stage students can hold small discussions with other students.
Analysis and evaluation	The students conduct analysis and evaluation of the impacts that occur on each account in the financial statements. The activity of analysing and evaluating is done so that students are more careful in drawing conclusions and making interpretations of the financial statements prepared.
Report (communicate the results of analysis and evaluation)	Students report the results of work by presenting to other students. Students who present the results are chosen randomly while others respond or give suggestions.

### 3.3 Testing for limited scale class

The testing for limited scale class was carried out in one school involving teachers and students. At this trial stage teachers and students jointly conduct a test of the draft of the product produced, which is then observed through discussion activities to get input. The limited scale class is carried out at the SMKN 1 Geger for two weeks. The PBLS models were implemented in 26 students of class XI Ak1 in experiment class. Which the statistical Hypothesis Ho<sub>1</sub>: there is no difference in the application of PBLS and classical models to learning achievement, and Ho<sub>2</sub>: there is no difference in the application of PBLS and classical models to HOTS. The results of the t test can be seen in Table 3.

Based on the results of the t test in Table 3, it can be seen that the value of sig. < 0.05, so Ho<sub>1</sub> and Ho<sub>2</sub> rejected. It can be concluded that the use of the PBLS model before and after treatment gives a significant difference for student learning achievement and HOTS. Based on the N-gain score, it was concluded that HOTS average score had an increase in the low category and learning achievement tended to be stable. This result is due to the implementation of the orientation process model dominated by lecture activities so that interactions between students, student interactions with teaching resources, student interaction with teachers have not been implemented.

#### 3.4 Testing for wide-scale class

Testing for limited scale class was done at SMKN 2 Jiwan (consists of control classes 32 students, an experimental class of 33) and SMKN 5 Madiun (consist of 24 control class students 24 experimental class students). The research was conducted for two weeks. Which the statistical Hypothesis Ho<sub>1</sub>: there is no difference in application of classical models to learning achievement in the control class, Ho<sub>2</sub>: there is no difference in application of classical models to learning achievement in the experiment class, Ho<sub>3</sub>: there is no difference in application of classical models to learning achievement in the experiment class, Ho<sub>4</sub>: there is no difference in application of classical models to HOTS in control class to HOTS in experiment class. The results of the t test with SPSS can be seen in Tables 4 and 5.

Based on the results of the t test (Table 4) it can be seen that sig. < 0.05 for HOTS and learning achievement in the experimental class. It can be concluded that Ho<sub>3</sub>, and Ho<sub>4</sub>, are rejected so that it can be concluded that:

- 1 There are differences in learning achievement in the experimental class pre and post-test. The average value of post-test learning achievement is greater than the pre-test.
- 2 There are differences in HOTS values in the experimental class pre and post-test. The value of HOTS post-test is greater than the pre-test.

Based on observers' observations, students are motivated to learn and discuss when PBLS models are applied. The implementation of learning still has passive students so that the teacher motivates students by giving explanations.

				Paire	d differences				
		Maan	Std.	Std. error	95% confidence inter	val of the difference	t	df	Sig. (two-tailed)
		unati	deviation	mean	Lower	Upper			
Pair 1	LA_pre-post	-4.038	7.074	1.387	-6.896	-1.181	-2.911	25	.007
Pair 2	HOTS_pre-HOTS_post	-2.577	4.272	.838	-4.303	851	-3.075	25	.005
Note: LA	<ul><li>I = learning achievement.</li></ul>								

Table 3Testing for limited scale class results

S.W. Utomo et al.

## 94

				Paired diff	erences				ł
		Moon	Ctd domination	Ctd amou maan	95% confidence inte	rval of the difference	t	df	Sig. (two-tailed)
		məm	Dia. aeviation	sta. error mean	Lower	Upper			
Pair 1	LA_pre-post	938	2.675	.473	-1.902	.027	-1.982	31	.056
	Control class								
Pair 2	HOTS_pre-post	219	3.290	.582	-1.405	.967	376	31	.709
	Control class								
Pair 3	LA_pre-post	-10.303	5.987	1.042	-12.426	-8.180	-9.886	32	.000
	Experiment class								
Pair 4	HOTS_pre-post	-5.091	5.276	.918	-6.962	-3.220	-5.543	32	.000
	Experiment class								
Note: LA	v = learning achievem	ent.							

achievement.
learning
11
LA
te:

Table 4 Test results t test with SPSS SMKN 2 Jiwan Madiun

				Paired diff	ferences				
		Macu	Ctd daviation	Ctd owner mount	95% confidence interv	al of the difference	T	df	Sig. (two-tailed)
		Incan	Dia. acviation	DIA. ETI UL MEAN	Lower	Upper			
Pair 1	LA_pre-post	-1.250	3.378	069.	-2.677	.177	-1.813	23	.083
	Control class								
Pair 2	HOTS_pre-post	-1.000	3.388	.692	-2.431	.431	-1.446	23	.162
	Control class								
Pair 3	LA_pre-post	-4.375	4.959	1.012	-6.469	-2.281	-4.322	23	000.
	Experiment class								
Pair 4	HOTS_ pre-post	-2.667	4.923	1.005	-4.745	588	-2.654	23	.014
	Experiment class								

Note: LA = learning achievement.

Table 5Test results t test with SPSS at SMKN 5 Madiun

Based on the results of the t test (Table 5) it can be seen that sig. < from 0.05 for learning achievement and HOTS in the experimental class. It can be concluded that Ho<sub>3</sub>, and Ho<sub>4</sub>, are rejected so that it can be concluded that:

- 1 There are differences in learning achievement in the experimental class pre and post-test. The average value of post-test learning achievement is greater than the pre-test.
- 2 There are differences in HOTS values in the experimental class pre and post-test. The value of HOTS post-test is greater than the pre-test.

The results of the observer's assessment of the application of the PBLS model gave an average score of 3.55 and the responses of the students gave an average score of 3.39. Students are motivated to learn and discuss when applied to the PBLS model. At the implementation stage the model of students emerges serious discussions in groups to solve problems in preparing financial statements. Based on the results of trials in two schools (SMK 2 Jiwan Madiun and SMK 5 Madiun) it can be concluded that the PBLS model has a significant effect on increasing HOTS and learning achievement in Jiwan 2 Jiwan and SMK 5 Madiun.

For limited scale test, It was concluded that there were significant differences in HOTS and learning achievement scores before and after implementation of the model (sig. < 0.05), but Ngain for HOTS and learning achievement 0.110 (low) and 0.08 (stable). For test wide-scale class, It was concluded that there were significant differences in HOTS and learning achievement in experiment class (sig. < 0.05), with Ngain for HOTS and learning achievement 0.183 (low) and 0.30 (medium) for SMKN 2 Jiwan and 0.11 (low) and 0.105 (low) for SMK 5 Madiun. HOTS and learning achievement score increase at wide-scale class. The results of the response of users and students showed an assessment in the good category.

Based on these results it can be concluded that the PBLS model has a significant effect on improving learning achievement and HOTS. The results of the observer's assessment of the application of the PBLS model gave an average score of 3.5 with categories (accordingly) and the response of students to the application of the PBLS model gave an average score of 3.43 with the appropriate category. The results of the literature review (Kingston, 2018) revealed that from the 20 studies of research literature reviewed, it shows that PBL may be more effective than traditional teaching in social studies, science, mathematics, and literacy. The results of the literature reviewed, it shows that PBL may be more effective than traditional studies, science, mathematics, and literature study are also supported by research (Narmaditya et al., 2017; Suryanti, 2017). PBLS is the result of the development of the PBL model, so it also supports the improvement of learning outcomes such as the description of research results that have been described.

Other research also revealed that PBL also increased HOTS (Jailani et al., 2017; Noma and Prayitno, 2016; Riadi, 2016; Untari et al., 2018). All of this research was developed by science and mathematics education researchers. PBL research in the department of accounting education has not yet led to HOTS (Narmaditya et al., 2017; Suryanti, 2017). A scientific approach needs to be combined to improve HOTS.

Previous research separates the use of the scientific approach with PBL (Hidayati and Retnawati, 2018) which concludes that both can increase HOTS, while (Tambunan,

2019) provides different conclusions, where PBL is more effective than a scientific approach. These two results seem contradictory, but in mathematics learning (Tambunan, 2019) the use of PBL is more effective because the treatment in mathematics learning is more focused on problem solving exercises rather than verifying phenomena such as science learning. PBLS in this research is a combination of PBL and scientific approach and it was found that the PBLS model is able to increase HOTS. The scientific approach is needed in learning in the vocational classroom because so far education in the field is directed at procedural completion without the opportunity to open students' creative ideas and innovations in solving problems demanded for competence in the 4th Generation of Industry Revolution. The combination of PBL models and new scientific approaches in this research has an impact on improving learning outcomes and HOTS. The research from (Widiawati and Joyoatmojo, 2018) has combined PBL and scientific approach, but it does not produce new syntax and does not explain the scientific subject. Kim (2011) also modify PBL by integrating the web-based practice system to systematise the implementation of PBL syntax, but it has not yet reached the syntax of measuring its impact on learning outcomes and HOTS.

## 4 Conclusions

Based on these results it can be concluded that the PBLS model has a significant effect on improving learning achievement and HOTS. For limited scale test, It was concluded that there were significant differences in HOTS and learning achievement scores before and after implementation of the model (sig. < 0.05), but Ngain for HOTS and learning achievement 0.110 (low) and 0.08 (stable). For test wide-scale class, It was concluded that there were significant differences in HOTS and learning achievement in experiment class (sig. < 0.05), with Ngain for HOTS and learning achievement 0.183 (low) and 0.30 (medium) for SMKN 2 Jiwan and 0.11 (low) and 0.105 (low) for SMK 5 Madiun. HOTS and learning achievement score increase at wide-scale class. The results of the response of users and students showed an assessment in the good category.

This development research has produced a prototype model which is a combination of PBL models and scientific approaches. The weaknesses that arise in applying the PBL model can be reduced by applying the PBLS model. The orientation is carried out by:

- 1 instructing students to search literature for financial report forms and definitions
- 2 paying attention to explanations about learning objectives, materials, preparing needed equipment, describing the final results to be achieved in learning.

This step is able to streamline the learning process. The investigative syntax that is the result of collaboration from the scientific approach requires students to develop scientific work procedures or steps that will be carried out through observation and review of material and literature. Investigative activities are very helpful for students in training high-level thinking skills. In addition to orientation and investigation syntax, the syntax of communicating can also help students to increase self-confidence and express the results of studies in writing and verbally. Between students the process of analysis and evaluation occurs so that new information/knowledge is more meaningful. The results of the application of the PBLS model in the limited class provide information that the model can significantly improve learning achievement and HOTS. In the wide-scale class also

results that the PBLS model has a significant effect on improving learning achievement and HOTS.

### References

- Acedo, C. and Hughes, C. (2014) 'In the 21st-century curriculum', *PROSPECTS*, Vol. 44, No. 4, pp.503–525 [online] https://doi.org/10.1007/s11125-014-9330-1.
- Aka, E.I., Guven, E. and Aydogdu, M. (2010) 'Effect problem solving method on science process skills and academic achievement', *Journal of Turkish Science Education ITUSED*, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp.13–25.
- Aktamis, H. and Ergin, O. (2008) 'The effect of scientific pocess skills education on students' scientific creativity, science attitudes and academic achievement', *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp.1–21.
- Apino, A. and Retnawati, H. (2017) 'Developing instructional design to improve mathematical higher order thinking skills of students', *Journal of Physics: Conf. Series*, Vol. 812, No. 1, pp.1–7 [online] https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/755/1/011001.
- Bayat, S. and Tarmizi, R.A. (2012) 'Effects of problem-based learning approach on cognitive variables of university students', *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Elsevier Science Direct, Vol. 46, pp.3146–3151.
- Borg, W.R. and Gall, M.D. (1983) *Educational Reasearch An Introduction*, 4th ed., Longman Inc., New York.
- Buang, N.A., Halim, L. and Meerah, S.T. (2010) 'Improving lectures' facilitative approach in the problem-based learning method of GR6223 course through action research: the case of Malaysia', *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Vol. 2, pp.3832–3835, Elsevier Science Direct.
- Celik, P., Onder, F. and Silay, I. (2011) 'The effects of problem-based learning on the students' success in physics course', *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Vol. 28, pp.656–660, Elsevier Science Direct.
- Erdogan, T. and Senemoglu, N. (2013) 'Problem-based learning in teacher education: its promises and challenges', *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Vol. 116, pp.459–463, Elsevier Science Direct.
- Ganiron Jr., T.U. (2014) 'The impact of higher level thinking on students' achievement toward project management course', *International Journal on U and E Service, Science and Technology*, Vol. 7, No. 3, pp.217–226.
- Hidayati, A.U. and Retnawati, H. (2018) 'The effectiveness of PBL and scientific approach viewed from hots and character', *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan Sains*, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp.70–82.
- Jailani, J., Sugiman, S. and Apino, E. (2017) 'Implementing the problem-based learning in order to improve the students', *HOTS and Characters*, Vol. 4, No. 2, pp.247–259.
- Kim, M. (2011) 'A systematic project-based learning model for accounting education in engineering and its application to web-based practice system', in 2nd International Conference on Education and Management Technology, Vol. 13, pp.54–59.
- Kingston, S. (2018) 'Project based learning & student achievement : what does the research tell us?', *PBL Evidence Matters*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp.1–11.
- Knapp, T.R. (2016) 'Why is the one-group pretest–posttest design still used?', *Clinical Nursing Research*, Vol. 25, No. 5, pp.467–472 [online] https://doi.org/10.1177/1054773816666280.
- Lawshe, C. (1975) 'A quantitative approach to content validity', *Personnel Psychology*, No. 1, pp.563–575 [online] https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1975.tb01393.
- Narmaditya, B.S., Winarning, W. and Wulandari, D. (2017) 'Impact of problem-based learning on student achievement in economics course', *Classroom Action Research Journal*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp.1–11 [online] https://doi.org/10.17977/um013v1i12017p1.

- Noma, L.D.W.I. and Prayitno, B.A.D.I. (2016) PBL Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Tingkat Tinggi Siswa Kelas X SMA (Problem Based Learning to Improve HOTS of High School Students, Vol. 9, pp.62–66.
- Pratama, G.S. and Retnawati, H. (2018) 'Urgency of higher order thinking skills (HOTS) content analysis in mathematics textbook', *Journal of Physics: Conf. Series*, Vol. 1097, No. 1, pp.1–8.
- Prayitno, B.A., Suciati, S. and Titikusumawati, E. (2018) 'Enhancing students' higher order thinking skills in science through instad strategy', *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, Vol. 17, No. 6, pp.1046–1055.
- Riadi, A. (2016) 'Problem-based learning Meningkatkan higher-order thinking skills Siswa Kelas Viii SMPN1 DAHA Utara Dan SMPN 2 Daha Utara', *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp.154–163 [online] https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/176905-ID-problembased-learning-meningkatkan-high.pdf.
- Saido, G.M., Siraj, S., Bakar, A., Nordin, B. and Saadallah, O. (2015) 'Higher order thinking skills among secondary school students in science learning', *The Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Science*, Vol. 3, No. 3, pp.13–20.
- Soeharto, S. and Rosmaiyadi, R. (2018) 'The analysis of students ' higher order thinking skills (HOTS) in wave and optics using IRT with winstep software', *Journal of Educational Science and Technology*, Vol. 4, No. 3, pp.145–150.
- Sukmadinata, N.S. (2013) Metode Penelitian Pendidikan, Remaja Rosdakarya, Bandung.
- Suryanti, N. (2017) 'The influence of problem based learning model and cognitive style on the result of learning intermediate financial accounting 2', Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, Yicemap, Vol. 66, pp.181–188.
- Tambunan, H. (2019) 'The effectiveness of the problem solving strategy and the scientific approach to students' mathematical capabilities in high order thinking skills', *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp.293–302.
- Untari, E., Rohmah, N. and Lestari, D.W. (2018) *MODEL pembelajaran problem based learning* (*PBL*) sebagai pembiasaan higher order thinking skills (HOTS) pada pembelajaran ipa di sekolah dasar, pp.135–142.
- Vijayaratnam, P. (2012) 'Developing higher order thinking skills and team commitment via group problem solving: a bridge to the real world', *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Vol. 66, pp.53–63, Elsevier Science Direct.
- Widiawati, L. and Joyoatmojo, S. (2018) 'Understanding higher order thinking skills as effect of problem based learning in the 21st century learning', *International Journal of Multicultural* and Multireligious Understanding, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp.96–105.
- Yen, T.S. and Halili, S.H. (2015) 'Effective teaching of higher-order thinking (HOT) in education', *The Online Journal of Distance Education and E-Learning*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp.41–47.